

Application No.: 09/341,347

Commissioner for Patents

July 8, 1999

METHOD

In re application of:

Filed:

For:

ì

12178 ITW

PATENT

2178

N/A

SUPPLEMENTAL

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Group No.:

Examiner:

CONTENT-LINKING

Gurminder SINGH, et al.

AND APPARATUS FOR

INFORMATION WITH TIME-SEQUENCE DATA

	Micka	ndria, V	11 2251	1450				
				STA	ATUS INQU	JIRY		
	1. M	ore than	2	_ months have passed	since			
٠		[]	the fil	APPLICATIONS ling of this application ommunication has been n on this application.		om the Patent and Trademark Office indicating		
		[X]	the fil	NDED APPLICATION Ing of a response on _ urther communication has been seen as a second part of the communication o	August 19, 2	2004 . eived from the Patent and Trademark Office.		
		[]	APPE	EALED APPLICATIO The Appeal Brief w				
			(When using Express Mail, i		.F.R. 1.8(a) and 1.10* iil label number is mandatory; a is optional.)		
	I hereby	certify the	at, on the	e date shown below, this co	rrespondence is	s being:		
		MAILING						
		deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.						
			37 C.	.F.R. 1.8(a)		37 C.F.R. 1.10*		
	⊠ wi	th sufficier	it postag	e as first class mail.		as "Express Mail Post Office to Address" Mailing Label No (mandatory)		
					[RANSMISSI			
04 17		nsmitted b l (October 14	,	ile to the Patent and Trader	nark Office. to	(703) 872-9806 Stanature		
						Julian H. Cohen (type or print name of person certifying)		

• Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest

possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(check and complete applicable items below)

	[] An Examiner's Answer was mailed on				
	[] A Reply to the Examiner's Answer was submitted on				
[]	ALLOWED APPLICATIONS				
	the mailing of FORM POL-327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on				

2. Kindly advise the undersigned of the present status of this application, by checking the appropriate box below. A stamped return-addressed envelope is provided.

NOTE:

M.P.E.P. § 203.08 Status Inquiries, 8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as follows:

NEW APPLICATION

Current examining procedures now provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) of Form PTOL-37 in every case of allowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 in addition to a formal Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate the need for status inquiries even as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his or her new application may have been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an exception, a status inquiry would be appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within three months from receipt of form PTOL-37.

Current examining procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner dockets of each art unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates of the "oldest new applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the expected time frames of when the examiners reach the applications or action.

Therefore, it should be rarely necessary to query the status of a new application.

AMENDED APPLICATIONS

Amended applications are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within two months of the date the examiner receivers the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not in order after reply by the attorney until 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. A postcard receipt for replies to the Office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, will be considered prima facie proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely filing of a reply, the submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate the need for a petition to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the need for a petition to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.113.

hM

Reg. No.: 20,302	SECNATURE OF PRACTITIONER
Tel. No.: (212) 708-1887	(type or print name of practitioner)
Customer No.: 01400	P.O. Address
	c/o Ladas & Parry LLP 26 West 61 st Street New York, N. Y. 10023